



Assessment of Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Quantitative Traits in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.)

M Mallik^{*1}, N Manivannan¹ and Noor-E-Mujassim²

¹Department of Oilseeds, Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics,

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences (GKVK), Bengaluru - 560 065, Karnataka

Received: 25 July 2020; Revised accepted: 27 August 2020

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to determine the genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for several quantitative traits in 115 genotypes of sunflower during Kharif, 2014. The field experiments were carried out at Department of Oilseeds, TNAU, Coimbatore during Kharif, 2014. Analysis of variance showed significant differences among genotypes for all the characters indicating presence of sufficient variability among the genotypes for various traits. High estimate of genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation were observed for *Alternaria* leaf spot, 100- seed weight, seed yield per plant and oil yield per plant. All the characters studied exhibited high heritability except head diameter and oil content which exhibited medium heritability. High genetic advance is observed for 100 seed weight and oil yield. The results indicated the possibility for successful selection and can be used for further breeding programme.

Key words: Sunflower, Variability, Heritability, Genetic advance

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) belongs to the family *Asteraceae*, genus containing 65 different species (Andrew *et al.* 2013). Sunflower is the world's fourth largest oil-seed crop and it is the third major source of edible oil in the world after soybean and groundnut. The name *Helianthus*, being derived from helios (the sun) and anthos (a flower). It is native to North America, also grown extensively in Russia, Argentina, France, Spain, USA and India. Breeding programmes aim at development of cultivars with high yield and yield components (Mallik *et al.* 2016). The seed yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is a complex character, which is highly influenced by environmental variations. Information on nature and magnitude of variability present in a population due to genetic and non-genetic causes is an important prerequisite for systematic breeding programme. The magnitude of variability present in crop species is the deciding factor to exercise effective selection. Variability is a prerequisite for selection programme, it is necessary to detect and document the amount of variation existing within

and between the populations. Thus, variability will aid the breeder to assess the character of importance and to choose the parents for hybridization programme.

Heritability magnitude indicates the reliability with which the genotype will be recognized by its phenotype expression (Chandrababu and Sharma 1999). Estimating the heritability, aid in determining the relative amount of heritable portion in variation and thus help the plant breeder in selecting elite genotypes from a diverse population. Heritability estimates along with genetic advance are normally more helpful in predicting the gain under selection than heritability estimates alone. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to study the genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in 115 genotypes of sunflower.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The seed material of 115 genotypes for the field experiments were obtained from the Sunflower Unit at the Department of Oilseeds, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), Coimbatore (Table 1). The field experiments were carried out at Department of Oilseeds, TNAU, Coimbatore during Kharif, 2014. The trial was

***Corresponding author:** M. Mallik, Division of Genetics, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi -110012

e-mail: mallik.manjunatha@gmail.com

conducted with two replications in a randomized block design. In each replication, each entry was raised in 4 m row, adopting a spacing of 60 cm between the rows and 30 cm between the plants. Normal agronomic practices were followed under irrigated condition. Data recorded on randomly chosen five plants for nine characters viz. days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), head diameter (cm), 100-

seed weight (g), volume weight (g/100 ml), seed yield per plant (g), oil content (%), oil yield per plant (g) and *Alternaria* leaf spot severity. The various genetic parameters like variability, genotypic coefficient variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient variation (PCV), heritability and genetic advance as per cent mean were calculated by adopting the formulae given by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

Table 1 List of genotypes used in the research

| S. No. | Genotypes | S. No | Genotypes |
|--------|-----------|-------|---------------|
| 1 | 17B | 59 | CSFI 5291 |
| 2 | 1B | 60 | CSFI 5292 |
| 3 | 207 DS B | 61 | CSFI 5293 |
| 4 | 207B | 62 | CSFI 5298 |
| 5 | 234B | 63 | CSFI 5307 |
| 6 | 300B | 64 | CSFI 5330 |
| 7 | 400B | 65 | CSFI 5331 |
| 8 | 607B | 66 | CSFI 5334 |
| 9 | 60B | 67 | CSFI 5335 |
| 10 | 821B | 68 | CSFI 5336 |
| 11 | 850B | 69 | CSFI 5341 |
| 12 | 852B | 70 | CSFI 5347 |
| 13 | 86B | 71 | CSFI 5373 |
| 14 | ARM 243B | 72 | CSFI 5377 |
| 15 | CO 4 | 73 | CSFI 5381 |
| 16 | COSF 1B | 74 | CSFI 5387 |
| 17 | COSF 2B | 75 | CSFI 5388 |
| 18 | COSF 3B | 76 | CSFI 5389 |
| 19 | COSF 5B | 77 | CSFI 5390 |
| 20 | COSF 6B | 78 | CSFI 5393 |
| 21 | COSF 7B | 79 | CSFI 5398 |
| 22 | COSFV 5 | 80 | CSFI 5401 |
| 23 | CSFI 5019 | 81 | CSFI 5406 |
| 24 | CSFI 5021 | 82 | CSFI 5411 |
| 25 | CSFI 5040 | 83 | CSFI 8002 |
| 26 | CSFI 5055 | 84 | CSFI 99 |
| 27 | CSFI 5062 | 85 | IR 3 |
| 28 | CSFI 5075 | 86 | M 1014-1 |
| 29 | CSFI 5078 | 87 | M 1014-3 |
| 30 | CSFI 5082 | 88 | M 1014-4 |
| 31 | CSFI 5083 | 89 | POP 440-1-2-1 |
| 32 | CSFI 5084 | 90 | POP 448-3-1-2 |
| 33 | CSFI 5086 | 91 | POP 449-1-2-1 |
| 34 | CSFI 5090 | 92 | POP 449-1-2-2 |
| 35 | CSFI 5092 | 93 | POP 449-1-2-3 |
| 36 | CSFI 5124 | 94 | POP 449-1-2-4 |
| 37 | CSFI 5125 | 95 | POP 449-2-1-1 |
| 38 | CSFI 5133 | 96 | POP 449-2-1-2 |
| 39 | CSFI 5140 | 97 | POP 449-2-1-3 |
| 40 | CSFI 5152 | 98 | POP 449-2-1-4 |
| 41 | CSFI 5177 | 99 | CSFI 13021 |
| 42 | CSFI 5181 | 100 | CSFI 13022 |
| 43 | CSFI 5190 | 101 | CSFI 13023 |
| 44 | CSFI 5194 | 102 | CSFI 13069 |
| 45 | CSFI 5205 | 103 | CSFI 13071 |
| 46 | CSFI 5210 | 104 | CSFI 13024 |
| 47 | CSFI 5213 | 105 | CSFI 13028 |
| 48 | CSFI 5216 | 106 | CSFI 13033 |
| 49 | CSFI 5219 | 107 | CSFI 13034 |

| | | | |
|----|-----------|-----|--------------------|
| 50 | CSFI 5223 | 108 | CSFI 13035 |
| 51 | CSFI 5232 | 109 | CSFI 13043 |
| 52 | CSFI 5246 | 110 | CSFI 13001 |
| 53 | CSFI 5254 | 111 | CSFI 13002 |
| 54 | CSFI 5260 | 112 | CSFI 13003 |
| 55 | CSFI 5276 | 113 | CSFI 13004 |
| 56 | CSFI 5286 | 114 | CSFI 13005 |
| 57 | CSFI 5287 | 115 | TNHSF 239-68-1-1-1 |
| 58 | CSFI 5288 | | |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation

The results obtained under the present investigation are presented in (Table 2-3). Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the genotypes for all the characters (Table 2). The wide range of variation noticed in all the characters would offer scope of selection for improvement of desirable types. The phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation exhibited wide range for all nine characters. The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was high for the characters, oil yield per plant (50.12%), seed yield per plant (47.86%), *Alternaria* leaf spot (29.95%), hundred seed weight (25.53%). Moderate PCV values were noticed for head diameter (21.13%), plant

height (18.60%), and volume weight (14.76%). Low PCV was exhibited by days to 50 % flowering (8.23%) and oil content (6.89%).

The genotypic coefficient variation (GCV) was high for the characters oil yield per plant (44.10%), seed yield per plant (42.25%), *Alternaria* leaf spot (23.45%), hundred seed weight (20.89%). Moderate GCV values were noticed for plant height (16.49%), followed by head diameter (14.63%) and volume weight (11.43%). Low GCV was exhibited days to 50 % flowering (7.37%) and oil content (5.33%) (Table 2). The presence of high GCV for oil yield per plant, seed yield per plant, *Alternaria* leaf spot and hundred seed weight suggested the possibility of improving and fixing these characters through effective selection.

Table 2 Analysis of variance for various characters

| Table 2: Analysis of variance for various characters | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Source | Df | Mean sum of square | | | | | | | | |
| | | Days to 50% flowering (days) | Plant height (cm) | Head diameter (cm) | Alternaria leaf spot (%) | 100seed weight (g) | Volume weight (g/100ml) | Seed yield per plant (g) | Oil content (%) | Oil yield per plant (g) |
| Treatment | 114 | 41.38** | 868.69** | 9.26** | 206.77** | 2.06** | 35.42** | 226.89** | 11.53** | 38.63** |
| Error | 114 | 4.53 | 104.00 | 3.26 | 49.64 | 0.41 | 8.86 | 28.16 | 2.90 | 4.92 |

**Significance at 1 per cent

The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) may provide an idea about the magnitude of variability. The phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation exhibited wide range for all nine characters. Head diameter (cm), *Alternaria* leaf spot (%), hundred seed weight (g), seed yield per plant (g) and oil yield per plant (g) had recorded high PCV values, whereas *Alternaria* leaf spot (%), hundred seed weight (g), seed yield per plant (g) and oil yield per plant (g) recorded

high GCV values. These results are in agreement with that of Rao *et al.* (2003), Seneviratne *et al.* (2004), Sridhar *et al.* (2006), Mijic *et al.* (2009), Dhillon *et al.* (2011). Moderate PCV values recorded for plant height (cm) and volume weight (g/100ml), whereas Moderate GCV values recorded for plant height (cm), head diameter and volume weight. These results are also in agreement with Dhillon *et al.* (2011). The result indicated that sufficient level of variability was present in the genotypes.

Table 3 Range and variability parameter of the genotypes

| Character | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | PCV (%) | GCV (%) | h ² (%) | GAM (%) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| Days to 50% flowering (days) | 43.00 | 71.50 | 58.25 | 8.23 | 7.37 | 80.27 | 2.54 |
| Plant height (cm) | 62.40 | 177.49 | 118.58 | 18.60 | 16.49 | 78.62 | 1.21 |
| Head diameter (cm) | 6.95 | 17.74 | 11.84 | 21.13 | 14.63 | 47.92 | 5.77 |
| <i>Alternaria</i> leaf spot (%) | 14.27 (22.17) | 90.12 (71.68) | 38.23 (37.80) | 29.95 | 23.45 | 61.28 | 2.61 |
| 100-seed weight (g) | 1.98 | 7.79 | 4.35 | 25.53 | 20.89 | 66.95 | 25.94 |
| Volume weight (g/ 100ml) | 22.78 | 41.15 | 31.88 | 14.76 | 11.43 | 59.97 | 3.00 |
| Seed yield per plant (g) | 3.47 | 61.33 | 23.60 | 47.86 | 42.25 | 77.91 | 6.00 |
| Oil content (%) | 29.75 | 44.76 | 38.99 | 6.89 | 5.33 | 59.77 | 2.44 |
| Oil yield per plant (g) | 1.17 | 25.42 | 9.31 | 50.12 | 44.10 | 77.42 | 15.07 |

Where, GCV% and PCV% are genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, respectively
GA (% of mean) is genetic advanced expressed as percent of mean

Heritability and genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM)

All the characters studied exhibited high heritability except head diameter (47.92%) and oil content (59.77%) which exhibited medium heritability. Oil yield per plant (15.07%) recorded moderate genetic advance as a percentage of mean, whereas 100 seed weight (25.94%) recorded high genetic advance as a percentage of mean, while all the other traits recorded low value (Table 3). The heritability and genetic advance provide the proportion of heritable variation exist and the genetic gain can be obtained in subsequent generations. All the characters studied exhibited high heritability except head diameter, volume weight and oil content which exhibited medium heritability denoting the least influence of environmental factors. Similar findings were reported by Sridhar *et al.* (2006), Sujatha and Reddy (2009), Janamma *et al.* (2008), Sutar *et al.* (2010), Arshad *et al.* (2010), Makane *et al.* (2011).

High genetic advance as percentage of mean was recorded for 100 seed weight. High heritability and high genetic advance as percentage of mean indicates the possibility for successful selection. Directional selection for these traits would be more effective for desired genetic

improvement. Similar findings were reported by Sridhar *et al.* (2006), Sujatha and Reddy (2009), Janamma *et al.* (2008), Makane *et al.* (2011). High heritability and low genetic advance as a percentage of mean were recorded for days to 50% flowering, plant height, PDI for *Alternaria* leaf spot, and seed yield per plant as reported by Sutar *et al.* (2010). It indicates that the expression of these traits is unstable due to environmental influence.

From the results and discussion, it could be concluded that the phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation exhibited wide range for all nine characters. *Alternaria* leaf spot, hundred seed weight, seed yield per plant and oil yield per plant recorded high phenotypic coefficient variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient variation (GCV) values. The result indicated that sufficient level of variability was present in the genotypes. All the characters studied exhibited high heritability except head diameter and oil content which exhibited medium heritability. High genetic advance as percentage of mean was recorded for 100 seed weight and oil yield. High heritability and high genetic advance as percentage of mean indicated the possibility for successful selection. Directional selection for these traits would be more effective for desired genetic improvement.

LITERATURE CITED

- Arshad M, Khan M A, Jadoon S A and Mohmand A S. 2010. Factor analysis in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) to investigate desirable hybrids. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **42**(6): 4393-4402.
- Chandrababu R J and Sharma R K. 1999. Heritability estimates in almond (*Prunus dulcis* (Miller) D.A. Webb). *Scientia Horticulture* **79**: 237-243.
- Dhillon S K, Chandra P, Bajaj R K and Singh P. 2011. Genetic evaluation and characterization of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) Genotypes as per DUS guidelines. *Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resource* **24**(1): 23-26.
- Janamma P, Jabeen F and Ranganath A R G. 2008. Genetic variability in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Journal of Oilseeds Research* **25**(1): 73-74.
- Johnson H W, Robinson H F and Comstock R E. 1955. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agronomy Journal* **47**: 314-318.
- Makane V G, Shinde C A, Mohrir M. N, Shaikh M D, Akhil M D S and Majid A B. 2011. Genetic variability studies in new versions of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Bioinfolet* **8**(1): 44-51.
- Mallik M, Manivannan N and Chandirakala R. 2016. Genetic diversity in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Journal of Oilseeds Research* **33**(4): 243-249.
- Mijic A, Ivica L, Zdunic Z, Maric S, Jeromela A M and Jankulovska M. 2009. Quantitative analysis of oil yield and its components in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Romanian Agricultural Research* **26**: 41-46.
- Rao N V, Mohan Y C and Reddy S S. 2003. Variability and character association in the elite lines of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Research on Crops* **1**: 104-109.
- Seneviratne K G S, Ganesh M, Ranganatha A R G, Nagaraj G and Devi K R. 2004. Population improvement for seed yield and oil content in sunflower. *Helia* **27**(41): 123-128.
- Sridhar V, Shankar V G and Singh D K. 2006. Variability parameters for yield and its components in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest* **26**: 4.
- Sutar D S, Ghoke M K. and Pole S P. 2010. Genetic variability and genetic advance in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Journal of Oilseeds Research* **27**(1): 55-56.