Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences
An International Journal

Res. Jr. of Agril. Sci. **12**(2): 525–530

P- ISSN: 0976-1675 | **E**- ISSN: 2249-4538

www.rjas.org

Research Paper

Resource Use Efficiency in Cultivation of Major Crops under Groundwater Irrigation in Vijayapur District of Karnataka

Sangamesh Angadi*[1] and S. S. Dolli[2]

Received: 09 Jan 2021 | Revised accepted: 17 Mar 2021 | Published online: 24 Mar 2021

A B S T R A C T

Agriculture in India is one of the most important primary sectors of its economy. Though, the proportion of Indian agriculture domestic the GDP has been steadily declining over the years. Main cause for deceleration in agricultural increase is declining investment in agriculture research and improvement and irrigation, inefficiency of rural credit score and extension. One greater the maximum vital component is; inefficient use of assets is the purpose for declined boom of agriculture quarter. So, the existing examine become below taken in Vijayapur district to analyse the useful resource use efficiency of principal crops. Major plants grown in the district consisting of sugarcane, redgram, onion, greengram, maize and jowar were selected for the study. Multistage random sampling was adopted for selection of sample respondents. Cobb-Douglas production technique was employed. Results of the study revealed that the farmers were using human labour, fertilizer, plant protection chemicals and machine labour more than the recommendation which unnecessarily adds to the total cost of production. Farmers using seeds, bullock labour, irrigation and FYM less than the recommendation leads to low nutrients availability to the crops. Farmers were using the FYM 50 per cent less than that of the recommended. The sum of elasticity coefficients with 0.36, 0.47, 0.77, 0.40, 0.93 and 0.34 of sugarcane, redgram, onion, greengram, maize and jowar showed decreasing returns to scale. The value of coefficient of multiple determination (R^2) were 0.84, 0.91, 0.72, 0.89, 0.92 and 0.81 for sugarcane, redgram, onion, greengram, maize and jowar respectively.

***Key words*:** Resource use efficiency, Coefficient of determination, Returns to scale, Marginal value product, Marginal factor cost

Agriculture in India remains the most important primary sectors of the economy. Agriculture accounting for 13.45 per cent of Indian GDP during 2018. However, its proportion has been steadily declining over the years. Main purpose for deceleration in agricultural increase is declining investment mainly public funding in agricultural studies and development, irrigation mixed with inefficiency of institutions that provide inputs and offerings including rural credit and extension and post-harvest losses of food grains at 10 per cent of the overall production. Other factors together with land fragmentation, current tenancy laws, lack of contemporary market place, rural infrastructure and irrelevant input pricing policies, and so on. had been responsible for agrarian and ecological crisis within the united states. The crux of the problem in agricultural production now's to growth the output in step with unit of enters applied in agricultural production.

The cost of cultivation is an important financial indicator being taken into consideration for framing the economic regulations with the aid of Government of India [1]. Cost of cultivation of a commodity is the full expenditure incurred on various inputs which might be utilized in the production of the commodity. Traditionally agriculture turned into performed with the aid of the conventional practices, the use of farm produced inputs. But modern-day agriculture is characterized with the aid of new practices and current implements and machinery that require huge parched inputs. Till 1970's, there was less use of parched inputs in cultivation of plants. Indigenous sorts of seeds had been used which had been purchased from the market place. It turned into after 1970 with the advent of green revolution, agriculture practices became greater capital intensive and pricey due to usage of all inputs crucial for the increase of agricultural production in India [2]. The cumulative impact of the input intensive technology and the domestic reforms in agriculture has been visible in the shape of a boom inside the value of cultivation of plants. The withdrawal, of subsidies from important spheres and multinationals participation to manufacture and distribute inputs has in addition multiplied enlargement of the farming community. The ploughing, coaching for seed bed, irrigation, intake of seed, hoeing and weeding, fertilizer, pesticides and pesticides were the major input prices that have affected the income of the farmers. These huge costs on inputs and different overhead charges have adversely affected the earnings of the farmers. The Kharif crop is the summer crop or monsoon crop in India. Kharif crops are usually sown with the

*Sangamesh Angadi
sangameshangadi5@gmail.com

[1-2]Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad - 580 005, Karnataka, India

beginning of the first rains in July, The Rabi crop is the spring harvest or winter crop in India. It is sown in October last and harvested in March April every year. Major Rabi crops in India include Wheat, Barley, Mustard, Sesame, Peas etc.

Rice is predominantly a Kharif or crop. It covers one third of total cultivated area of India. It provides food to more than half of the Indian population. Rice is produced in almost all states. Top three producer states are West Bengal, Punjab and Uttar Pradesh. Other rice growing states include Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Jharkhand, Uttarakhand, Chhattisgarh, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka, Assam and Maharashtra. It is also grown in Haryana, Madhya Pradesh, Kerala, Gujarat and Kashmir Valley. Wheat is the second most important crop of India after Rice. It's a Rabi Crop. It is the staple food in north and north western India. It is the staple food in north and north western India. It's a winter crop and needs low temperature. Ideal temperature for wheat cultivation is between 10-15°C at the time of sowing and 21-26°C at the time of harvesting. Wheat thrives well in less than 100 cm and more than 75 cm rainfall. The most suitable soil for cultivation of wheat is well drained fertile loamy soil and clayey soil. Plain areas are most suitable. The wheat crop is highly mechanization oriented and may need less labour [3]. Top three states producing Wheat are Uttar Pradesh, Punjab and Haryana.

Coarse Cereals and Millets are the short duration warm weather (Kharif) crops used both as food and fodder. Important millets are Jawar, Bajra, Ragi etc. The areas under these crops have fallen drastically in recent years in India. The coarse cereals and millets are grown in areas with high temperature and are called dryland crops because can be grown in areas with 50-100 cm rainfall. The coarse cereal crops are less sensitive to soil deficiencies. They can be grown in inferior alluvial or loamy soil. Top three states with maximum production of total coarse cereals are Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Rajasthan. Maize, being an American crop, is a relatively new entrant and is gaining popularity because of its high yields and its easy adaptability to various soils and climatic conditions. It is rich in protein and requires moderate rainfall [4].

Sugarcane belongs to bamboo family of plants and is indigenous to South Asia. In India, it is one of the most important Kharif crops. India is known as the original land of sugarcane. It is sown before kharif season and harvested in winter. It requires about 100 cm of rain. Many new varieties of sugar such as Gur and Khandsari are produced from sugarcane.

India is one of the leading producers of oilseeds in the world. They are the main source of edible oils. Some of them are used for preparing paints, varnishes, perfumes, medicines, soap etc. The main oilseeds are groundnut (kharif crop in peninsular India), rapeseed and mustard (rabi crops in wheat belt). Other oilseeds are seasamum (Orissa, Rajasthan, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra), Linseed (Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh and Maharashtra), Castor-seed (Gujarat) and Cotton Seed (Gujarat, Maharashtra and Punjab).

Groundnut is most important oil seeds of India. Grown as both as kharif and Rabi crop but 90-95 per cent of the total area is devoted to kharif crop. Groundnut thrives best in the tropical climate and requires 20°C to 30°C temperature. 50-75 cm rainfall is favourable for groundnut cultivation. Ground nit accounts for half of the major oilseeds produced in India. India is the second largest producer of groundnut (After China). Top three states producing ground nut are Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu.

Resource use efficiency in agriculture plays an important role in determining the farm production income. Manures and fertilizers, irrigation facilities, manpower, seeds, bullock labour, hired human labour, working capital, farm implements and machinery and crop protection measures are the major crucial inputs in agriculture [5]. The size of farm income depends on the efficiency with which farmers are able to utilize these resources. With higher efficiency in the use of scare resources, farmers can augment their income and savings. This study is aimed at exploring the profitability of crops in Karnataka in general and in Belagavi district of Karnataka in particular through estimation of the extent of resource use allocation and efficiency as reflected by production function analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in Belagavi district. Multistage random sampling technique was used for the selection of sample farmers. In the first stage, Belagavi district was purposively selected. In the second stage, all the 2 taluks were involved in order to study the cost of cultivation of major crops of the district. In the third stage, from each taluk, two villages were selected based on highest area under cultivation of the selected crops. At the final stage, 15 farmers from each village were selected making the total sample size to 60 farmers. The sample farmers were interviewed personally with help of pre-tested schedule and tabular analysis method was used to work out the resource use efficiency of major crops in Belagavi district.

Analytical tools

The resource-use efficiencies were studied by fitting the Cobb-Douglas type production function (Monetary values) to the farm level data.

The model specified was as follows:

$$Y = a\, X_1^{\,bl}\, X_2^{\,b2}\, X_3^{\,b3}\, X_4^{\,b4}\, X_5^{\,b5}\, X_6^{\,b6}\, X_7^{\,b7}\, eu \ldots.(1)$$

In logarithmic form, it assumed a log-linear equation as under:

$$\text{Log } Y = \text{Log } a + b1 \log x1 + b2 \log x2 + b3 \log x3 + b4 \log x4 + b5 \log x5 + b6 \log x6 + b7 \log x7 + u \log e \ldots\ldots\ldots (2)$$

Where,

Y = Gross returns in Rs.

Where, Yt = Output (Gross returns),

a = Constant

u = Random variable

e = Error term

bi= elasticity coefficient of i^{th} input and X1 to X7 are independent variables

The independent variables [inputs] included were seeds (Kg), human labour (mandays), bullock labour (pair days), machine labour (hour), fertilizers (Kg), FYM (tons), PPC (litters) and irrigation cost (Rs). In the case of jowar [6 variables] viz. seeds (Kg), human labour (mandays), bullock labour (pair days), machine labour (hour), fertilizers (Kg), FYM (tons) and irrigation cost (Rs), plant protection chemicals for jowar is not used by the sample farmers in the stud area.

The regression co-efficients (bi) were tested for the significance using 't' test:

$$t = \frac{bi}{\text{Standard error of bi}} \text{ ------- (3)}$$

The co-efficient of multiple determination (R^2) was also worked out to test the goodness of fit of the model. While calculating resource use efficiency for jowar, the variable input plant protection chemicals were not applied by the sample farmers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads:

Regression equations under irrigation situation were estimated separately using total gross output as the dependent variable and the quantity of seeds, farmyard manure (FYM), chemical fertilizers, human labour, bullock and machine labour, plant protection chemicals and irrigation as independent variables in sugarcane, redgram, onion, green gram, maize and jowar production. The regression equation was estimated in order to capture the nature and magnitude of the effects of the independent variables on the productivity of selected crops [6]. The coefficients were estimated by employing the Cobb-Douglas production function. The efficiency in resource allocation in respect of selected inputs in selected crop production has been explained based on the ratios of the marginal value product (MVP) to marginal factor cost (MFC).

Table 1 Inputs utilization pattern in cultivation of major crops in Vijayapur district

(Per ha), N=60

Particulars	Units	Sugarcane	Redgram	Onion	Greengram	Maize	Jowar
Seed/Seedlings	Kg	5150	13.25	5.39	12.89	20.18	12.83
Human labour	Mandays	168.42	64.28	142.36	64.81	84.18	23.83
Bullock labour	Bullock pair	7.75	5.32	3.18	3.12	4.22	3.62
Machine labour	Hour	6.12	6.85	2.12	3.22	6.82	6.35
Fertilizer	Kg	450.12	78.65	138.42	34.18	228.39	41.53
FYM	Tonnes	6.12	3.42	3.12	3.72	2.44	0.56
PPC	Litter	16.15	6.28	2.85	2.5	4.11	0.76
Irrigation charges	Rs.	12450	2846	2687	1650	2123	1352

Sugarcane

The output elasticities of FYM and irrigation have positive and significant indicated that the production of sugarcane was significantly influenced by these variables. The output elasticity coefficients for human labour were negative. This indicated that there is a need to reduce the expenditure on this input would contribute significantly towards gross returns. Elasticity coefficients for seeds, bullock labour, machine hour fertilizer and PPC were positive but non-significant. Hence, it would not be profitable to further increase in the expenses on these resources. The sum of elasticity coefficients with 0.36 showed decreasing returns to scale. The independent variable explains 84 per cent variation in the dependent variable. The MVP to MFC ratios for seeds, bullock labour, machine hour, fertilizer, FYM, PPC and irrigation charges were more than one indicating that still there is scope to use these inputs and increase the gross returns of sugarcane production. On the other hand, the MVP to MFC ratios of human labour was less than one and negative, indicating the expenditure on the inputs was more than the optimum level [7]. Hence, withdrawal of some units of these resources would optimize returns from sugarcane cultivation. There is need to recognize expenditure on different inputs.

Redgram

The output elasticities of seed, bullock labour, FYM and irrigation have positive and significant indicated that the production of redgram was significantly influenced by these variables. The output elasticity coefficients for machine hour, fertilizer and PPC were negative. This indicated that there is need to reduce the expenditure on this input would contribute significantly towards gross returns [8]. Elasticity coefficients for human labour were positive but non-significant. Hence, it would not be profitable to increase in the expenses on these resources. The sum of elasticity coefficients with 0.47 showed decreasing returns to scale. The independent variable explains 91 per cent variation in the dependent variable. The MVP to MFC ratios for seeds, human labour, bullock labour, FYM, and irrigation charges were more than one indicating that still there is scope to use these inputs and increase the gross returns of redgram production [9]. On the other hand, the MVP to MFC ratios of machine hour, fertilizer and PPC were less than one and negative, indicating the expenditure on these inputs was more than the optimum level. Hence, withdrawal of some units of these resources would optimize returns from redgram cultivation. There is need to recognize expenditure on different inputs.

Table 2 Resource use efficiency of sugarcane in Vijayapur district (Per ha), N=60

Explanatory variables	Parameters	Regression coefficient	Standard Error	MVP/MFC ratio
Intercept	a	9.01	3.273	
Seeds (Rs)	b_1	0.01	0.030	0.16
Human labour (Rs)	b_2	-0.08	0.189	-0.44
Bullock labour (Rs)	b_3	0.03	0.025	0.77
Machine labour (Rs)	b_4	0.01	0.022	0.39
Fertilizers (Rs)	b_5	0.01	0.023	0.10
FYM (Rs)	b_6	0.08**	0.023	5.11
PPC (Rs)	b_7	0.01	0.023	0.12
Irrigation charge (Rs)	b_8	0.29*	0.139	4.88
Coefficient of multiple determination	R^2	0.84		
Returns to Scale	Σb_i	0.36		

Figures in the parentheses indicates their respective standard errors

**Significant at one per cent probability level, *Significant at five per cent probability level

Table 3 Resource use efficiency of redgram in Vijayapur district

(Per ha), N=60

Explanatory variables	Parameters	Regression coefficient	Standard Error	MVP/MFC ratio
Intercept	a	7.69	0.246	
Seeds (Rs)	b_1	0.36**	0.022	10.16
Human labour (Rs)	b_2	0.04	0.004	0.27
Bullock labour (Rs)	b_3	0.04**	0.001	0.65
Machine labour (Rs)	b_4	-0.01	0.004	-0.06
Fertilizers (Rs)	b_5	-0.03	0.002	-0.99
FYM (Rs)	b_6	0.01*	0.003	0.37
PPC (Rs)	b_7	-0.01	0.007	-0.28
Irrigation charge (Rs)	b_8	0.07*	0.018	2.40
Coefficient of multiple determination	R^2	0.91		
Returns to Scale	Σb_i	0.47		

Figures in the parentheses indicates their respective standard errors
**Significant at one per cent probability level,
*Significant at five per cent probability level

Table 4 Resource use efficiency of onion in Vijayapur district

(Per ha), N=60

Explanatory variables	Parameters	Regression coefficient	Standard Error	MVP/MFC ratio
Intercept	a	4.95	1.813	
Seeds (Rs)	b_1	0.40**	0.061	3.83
Human labour (Rs)	b_2	0.02	0.112	0.08
Bullock labour (Rs)	b_3	0.06	0.057	2.37
Machine labour (Rs)	b_4	0.01	0.052	0.64
Fertilizers (Rs)	b_5	0.02	0.052	0.36
FYM (Rs)	b_6	0.10**	0.031	7.50
PPC (Rs)	b_7	-0.04	0.049	-3.86
Irrigation charge (Rs)	b_8	0.20**	0.050	8.65
Coefficient of multiple determination	R^2	0.72		
Returns to Scale	Σb_i	0.77		

Figures in the parentheses indicates their respective standard errors
**Significant at one per cent probability level,
*Significant at five per cent probability level

Onion

The output elasticities of seed, FYM and irrigation have positive and significant indicated that the production of onion was significantly influenced by these variables. The output elasticity coefficients for PPC were negative. This showed that thus there is need to reduce the expenditure on this input would contribute significantly towards gross returns [10]. Elasticity coefficients for human labour, bullock labour and machine hour were positive but non-significant. Hence, it would not be profitable to further increase in the expenses on these resources. The sum of elasticity coefficients with 0.77 showed decreasing returns to scale. The independent variable explains 72 per cent variation in the dependent variable [11].

The MVP to MFC ratios for seeds, human labour, bullock labour, machine hour, fertilizer, FYM, and irrigation charges were more than one indicating that still there is scope to use these inputs and increase the gross returns of onion production. On the other hand, the MVP to MFC ratios of PPC was less than one and negative, indicating the expenditure on these inputs was more than the optimum level [12]. Hence, withdrawal of some units of these resources would optimize returns from onion cultivation. There is need to recognize expenditure on different inputs.

Greengram

The output elasticities of bullock labour, fertilizer and FYM have positive and significant indicated that the production of greengram was significantly influenced by these variables. The output elasticity coefficients for seed and machine hour were negative [13]. This indicated that there is a need to reduce the expenditure on this input would contribute significantly towards gross returns. Elasticity coefficients for human labour, PPC and irrigation charges were positive but non-significant. Hence, it would not be profitable to further increase in the expenses on these resources. The sum of elasticity coefficients with 0.40 showed decreasing returns to scale. The independent variable explains 89 per cent variation in the dependent variable [14].

The MVP to MFC ratios for human labour, bullock labour, fertilizer, FYM, irrigation charges and PPC were more than one indicating that still there is scope to use these inputs and increase the gross returns of greengram production [15]. On the other hand, the MVP to MFC ratios seed and machine hour were less than one and negative, indicating the expenditure on these inputs was more than the optimum level [16]. Hence, withdrawal of some units of these resources would optimize returns from greengram cultivation. There is need to recognize expenditure on different inputs.

Maize

The output elasticities of human labour, FYM and PPC have positive and significant indicated that the production of maize was significantly influenced by these variables. The output elasticity coefficients for fertilizers was negative [17]. This indicated that there is need to reduce the expenditure on this input would contribute significantly towards gross returns. Elasticity coefficients for seed, bullock labour, machine hour and irrigation charges were positive but non-significant.

Hence, it would not be profitable to further increase in the expenses on these resources [18]. The sum of elasticity coefficients with 0.93 showed decreasing returns to scale. The independent variable explains 92 per cent variation in the dependent variable. The MVP to MFC ratios for seed, human labour, bullock labour, machine hour, FYM, irrigation charges and PPC were more than one indicating that still there is scope to use these inputs and increase the gross returns of maize production [19]. On the other hand, the MVP to MFC ratios of fertilizer was less than one and negative, indicating the expenditure on these inputs was more than the optimum level. Hence, withdrawal of some units of these resources would optimize returns from maize cultivation. There is need to recognize expenditure on different inputs.

Table 5 Resource use efficiency of greengram in Vijayapur district

(Per ha), N=60

Explanatory variables	Parameters	Regression coefficient	Standard Error	MVP/MFC ratio
Intercept	a	7.71	1.048	
Seeds (Rs)	b_1	-0.02	0.012	-0.69
Human labour (Rs)	b_2	0.08	0.139	0.30
Bullock labour (Rs)	b_3	0.27**	0.039	4.76
Machine labour (Rs)	b_4	-0.09	0.077	-1.21
Fertilizers (Rs)	b_5	0.03*	0.015	1.50
FYM (Rs)	b_6	0.08**	0.022	2.38
PPC (Rs)	b_7	0.01	0.012	0.57
Irrigation charge (Rs)	b_8	0.03	0.013	0.82
Coefficient of multiple determination	R^2	0.89		
Returns to Scale	Σb_i	0.40		

Figures in the parentheses indicates their respective standard errors
**Significant at one per cent probability level,
*Significant at five per cent probability level

Table 6 Resource use efficiency of maize in Vijayapur district

(Per ha), N=60

Explanatory variables	Parameters	Regression coefficient	Standard Error	MVP/MFC ratio
Intercept	a	3.64	1.833	
Seeds (Rs)	b_1	0.06	0.141	1.04
Human labour (Rs)	b_2	0.30**	0.069	1.32
Bullock labour (Rs)	b_3	0.13	0.103	2.53
Machine labour (Rs)	b_4	0.02	0.014	0.17
Fertilizers (Rs)	b_5	-0.02	0.026	-0.16
FYM (Rs)	b_6	0.24**	0.082	15.31
PPC (Rs)	b_7	0.16**	0.017	5.82
Irrigation charge (Rs)	b_8	0.05	0.042	1.20
Coefficient of multiple determination	R^2	0.92		
Returns to Scale	Σb_i	0.93		

Figures in the parentheses indicates their respective standard errors
**Significant at one per cent probability level,
*Significant at five per cent probability level

Table-7: Resource use efficiency of jowar in Vijayapur district

(Per ha), N=60

Explanatory variables	Parameters	Regression coefficient	Standard Error	MVP/MFC ratio
Intercept	a	8.35	0.178	
Seeds (Rs)	b_1	0.03**	0.010	2.10
Human labour (Rs)	b_2	0.03	0.021	0.28
Bullock labour (Rs)	b_3	0.04*	0.019	0.53
Machine labour (Rs)	b_4	-0.01	0.014	-0.06
Fertilizers (Rs)	b_5	0.05	0.060	1.33
FYM (Rs)	b_6	0.08**	0.027	12.24
PPC (Rs)	b_7	0.01	0.007	0.13
Irrigation charge (Rs)	b_8	0.10**	0.031	3.60
Coefficient of multiple determination	R^2	0.81		
Returns to Scale	Σb_i	0.34		

Figures in the parentheses indicates their respective standard errors
**Significant at one per cent probability level,
*Significant at five per cent probability level

Jowar

The output elasticities of seed, bullock labour, farmyard manure (FYM) and irrigation charges have positive and significant indicated that the production of jowar was significantly influenced by these variables. The output elasticity coefficients for machine hour, was negative. This

indicated that there is a need to reduce the expenditure on this input would contribute significantly towards gross returns [20]. Elasticity coefficients for human labour, fertilizers and PPC were positive but non-significant. Hence, it would not be profitable to further increase in the expenses on these resources. The sum of elasticity coefficients with 0.34 showed decreasing returns to scale. The independent variable explains 81 per cent variation in the dependent variable [21].

The marginal value product (MVP) to marginal factor cost (MFC)ratios for seed, human labour, bullock labour, fertilizers, farmyard manure (FYM), PPC and irrigation charges were more than one indicating that still there is scope to use these inputs and increase the gross returns of jowar production [22]. On the other hand, the marginal value product (MVP) to marginal factor cost (MFC) ratios of machine hour was less than one and negative, indicating the expenditure on these inputs was more than the optimum level. Hence, withdrawal of some units of these resources would optimize returns from jowar cultivation. There is need to recognize expenditure on different inputs.

CONCLUSIONS

Resource use efficiency analysis for the major irrigated crops of Vijayaur district revealed that resources are not optimally utilized in most of the crops, there is need for reallocation of the resources as the marginal value product (MVP) to marginal factor cost (MFC) ratio was more than one for most of the inputs. Farmers were using human labour, plant protection chemicals, fertilizer and machine labour more than the recommendation which unnecessarily adds to the total cost of production. Farmers using seeds, irrigation and farmyard manure (FYM) less than the recommendation leads to low nutrients availability to the crops. So, creating awareness is among the farmers to use the inputs as per recommendation which leads to decrease in cost of cultivation and increase in output levels. Farmers were using the farmyard manure (FYM), 50 per cent less than that of the recommended. So, farmers must be encouraged to rare the livestock's which gives supplementary income and farmyard manure (FYM), which reduces the cost on fertilizers and fertility of the soil can be maintained.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Kiresur VR, Manjunath I. 2011. Socio-economic impact of Bt cotton – A case study of Karnataka. *Agriculture Econ. Res. Review* 24(1): 67-81.
2. Verma AR. 2002. Economics of production, resource use efficiency and constraints: A case study of onion in Shajapur district of Madhya Pradesh. *The Bihar Jr. Agric. Mktg*. 10(4): 429-439.
3. Hugar LB, Amrutha CP, Patil BV. 2009. Productivity difference between Bt and non Bt farms in Karnataka state, India- An econometric evidence. *Karnataka Jr. Agric. Science* 22: 349-352.
4. Jaiswal A, Hugar LB. 2011. An economic analysis of soybean cultivation and competing crops in Madhya Pradesh. *Karnataka Jr. Agric. Sciences* 24(4): 591-592.
5. Gaddi GM, Mundinamani SM, Hiremath GK. 2002. Resource-use efficiency in groundnut production in Karnataka — An economic analysis. *Agriculture Situation in India* 56: 517-522.
6. Fare R, Grosskopf S. 1994. Estimation of returns to scale using data envelopment analysis: A comment. *European Jr. Operat. Research* 79: 379-382.
7. Angadi S, Patil BL. 2017. Resource use efficiency of Greengram in Gadag district of Karnataka. *Jr. Pharma. Phytochemistry* 6(6): 2444-2448
8. Hosamani, Udaykumar, Basavaraj H, Guledgudda SS. 2010. Cost, returns and resource use efficiency of pesticides in paddy production in Koppal district of Karnataka. *Agriculture Situation in India* 67(4): 189-192.
9. Sujitha1 KS, Jothi P, Padmavathi P, Narayanamoorthy A. 2020. Water use efficiency in different crops cultivation: A study of borewell owning farmers from South India. *Journal of Critical Reviews* 7(12): 1164-1170.
10. Gulati A, Mohan G. 2018. Towards Sustainable, production and Profitable Agriculture: Case of Rice and Sugarcane. Working Paper, No. 358. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER), New Delhi.
11. Narayanamoorthy A. 2004. Impact assessment of drip irrigation in India: The case of sugarcane. *Development Policy Review* 22(4): 443-462.
12. Ali M, Talukder M. 2008. Increasing water productivity in crop production—A synthesis. *Agric. Water Management* 95: 1201-1213.
13. Knox JW, Kay M, Weatherhead E. 2012. Water regulation, crop production, and agricultural water management—Understanding farmer perspectives on irrigation efficiency. *Agric. Water Management* 108: 3-8.
14. Adanakis Y, Bennett R, Park J, Areal FJ. 205. Improving productivity and water use efficiency: A case study of farms in England. *Agric. Water Management* 160: 22-32.
15. Hamsa KR, Srikantha Murthy PS, Gaddi GM, Rashmi KS. 2017. Resource use efficiency in cultivation of major food crops under rainfed conditions in central dry Zone of Karnataka. *Economic Affairs* 62(2): 321-325.
16. Patil SM, Kunnal LB, Gaddi GM. 1997. Resource use efficiency in groundnut production. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 10(3): 807-810.
17. Suresh A, Reddy KTR. 2006. Resource-use efficiency of paddy cultivation in Peechi command area of Thrissur district of Kerala: An economic analysis. *Agricultural Economics Research Review* 19: 159-171.
18. Basavaraj B, Annapoorna MS. 2019. Resource use efficiency of major crops in Karnataka. *Int. Jr. Pure App. Bioscience* 7(1): 209-215.
19. Laxmi NT, Mundinamani SM. 2015. Resource use efficiency in cultivation of major crops in Dharwad district. *Agriculture Update* 10(2): 93-99.
20. Suresh A, Keshava Reddy TR. 2006. Resource-use efficiency of paddy cultivation in Peechi Command Area of Thrissur District of Kerala: An Economic Analysis. *Agricultural Economics Research Review* 19: 159-171.
21. Haque T. 2006. Resource use efficiency in Indian agriculture. *Ind. Jr. of Agri. Economics* 61(1): 65-76.
22. Jaiswal A, Hugar LB. 2011. An economic analysis of soybean cultivation and competing crops in Madhya Pradesh. *Karnataka Jr. Agric. Sci*. 24(4): 591-592.