

*Efficacy of Combined Application of  
Fluorescent Pseudomonads, Salicylic acid,  
Navagavya on the Yield Parameters of Rice  
Var. ADT 36 Infected by Brown Spot Disease*

Jaiganesh V., Vaishali B., Darwin Christdhas  
Henry L., Sudha Raja Kumar R., Thamarai  
Selvi M. and Kannan C.

Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences  
An International Journal

P- ISSN: 0976-1675

E- ISSN: 2249-4538

Volume: 12

Issue: 04

Res Jr of Agril Sci (2021) 12: 1277–1280

# Efficacy of Combined Application of Fluorescent Pseudomonads, Salicylic acid, Navagavya on the Yield Parameters of Rice Var. ADT 36 Infected by Brown Spot Disease

Jaiganesh V.<sup>1</sup>, Vaishali B.<sup>2</sup>, Darwin Christdhas Henry L.<sup>3</sup>, Sudha Raja Kumar R.<sup>4</sup>, Thamarai Selvi M.<sup>5</sup> and Kannan C.<sup>6</sup>

Received: 06 May 2021 | Revised accepted: 29 Jun 2021 | Published online: 27 July 2021  
© CARAS (Centre for Advanced Research in Agricultural Sciences) 2021

## ABSTRACT

The field trial studies were undertaken to investigate the changes in the brown spot disease incidence and yield parameters in Rice var. ADT 36 after the application of bioprotectant fluorescent Pseudomonads, Resistance inducing chemical Salicylic acid, Organic immunizer Navagavya. Among the various treatments undertaken, Seed treatment of talc based fluorescent Pseudomonads with sprouted rice seeds at the rate of 10 g/kg of seeds, along with foliar application of Salicylic acid 50 ppm at 15 DAT and Navagavya (5%) at 30 DAT recorded the minimum disease incidence, increased biometrics and yield parameters. In all the treatments there were significant increase in yield over check.

**Key words:** Fluorescent Pseudomonads, Salicylic acid, Navagavya, Brown Spot, Rice

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is considered as queen of the cereals, because it is one of the most important crops of the world [1]. It is the second most cultivated cereal crop worldwide and is central to the lives of billions of people around the world [2]. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L., 2n=24) is a plant belonging to the family of grasses, *Gramineae* (*Poaceae*). India has a long history of rice cultivation. Globally, it stands first in rice area and second in rice production, after China. It contributes 21.5 per cent of global rice production. Within the country, rice occupies one quarter of the total cropped area, contributes about 40 to 43 per cent of total food grain production and continues to play a vital role in the national food and livelihood security system [3].

Rice crop is widely affected by a number of diseases caused by fungi, bacteria, viruses and mycoplasma which results in considerable yield losses [4]. Among the various fungal diseases of rice, brown spot or sesame leaf spot incited by *Helminthosporium oryzae* (Breda de Haan) Subram and Jain is found to occur in most rice growing areas. The pathogen attacks the crop from seedling to milk

stage. The symptoms appear as minute spots on the coleoptile, leaf blade, leaf sheath and glume, being most prominent on leaf blades and glumes and causes about 67 per cent of yield loss [5].

This present field study was undertaken in Cheyyur region of Chengalpet District. To combat the yield losses caused by Brown spot disease, combined application of fluorescent Pseudomonads, Salicylic acid and Navagavya were carried out at different time intervals. Application of those products not only reduced the disease incidence, but also increased the overall yield of the crop as well as quality of the grains.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Crop, Variety and Source*

Crop : Paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Variety : ADT 36

Source : Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute (TRRI), Aduthurai, Tamil Nadu

### *Integrated management of brown spot in rice (Field trial)*

The plot size of 5 m<sup>2</sup> was selected in Cheyyur village of Chengalpet district. The land was prepared well and seedlings were transplanted with the spacing of 20 × 10 cm. The test plants were artificially inoculated with the spore suspension which was sprayed at a spore load of 50,000

\* Jaiganesh V.

✉ potatojaiganesh@gmail.com

<sup>1-6</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar - 608 002, Tamil Nadu, India

spores/ml at 15 DAT. The experiments were conducted in a randomized block design with three replications for each treatment. The fungicide Mancozeb 75 WP at 0.2 per cent was used as comparative fungicide.

The combination of treatments mentioned below (Integrated study) was evaluated in field experiment conducted during December, 2020 in Cheyyur village. The disease incidence of Brown spot, biometric and yield parameters of rice were recorded. At maturity 100 panicles for each treatment were collected and the number of filled, discoloured, unfilled (chaffy) grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight were also assessed.

Treatment schedule

T <sub>1</sub> : SA <sub>1</sub> (15 DAT)	T <sub>6</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>
T <sub>2</sub> : SA <sub>2</sub> (30 DAT)	T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>2</sub>
T <sub>3</sub> : NG <sub>1</sub> (15 DAT)	T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>
T <sub>4</sub> : NG <sub>2</sub> (30 DAT)	T <sub>9</sub> : Mancozeb @ 0.2% (comparison)
T <sub>5</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> + T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>10</sub> : Control

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Impact of Fluorescent Pseudomonads, Salicylic acid and Navagavya on the management of B. oryzae

In field experiments, seed treatment with fluorescent Pseudomonads @ 10 g/kg, foliar application of salicylic acid (50 ppm) and Navagavya (5%) were found significantly superior over test fungicide Mancozeb (0.2%) in respect of reducing disease intensity and increasing biometrics and yield parameters of rice. Results of the study showed that, Brown spot disease incidence was effectively controlled by seed treatment with fluorescent Pseudomonads @ 10 g/kg foliar application of SA<sub>1</sub> (at 15 DAT) and NG<sub>2</sub> (at 30 DAT) by (6.32 percent). It was followed by (T<sub>5</sub>) seed treatment with fluorescent Pseudomonads @ 10 g/kg and foliar application of SA<sub>1</sub> (at 15 DAT) and SA<sub>2</sub> (at 30 DAT) which recorded a disease incidence of 6.81 percent. The test fungicide Mancozeb 0.2 per cent recorded 09.12 percent (Table 1).

Table 1 Impact of FP, SA and NG in different combinations on the incidence of Brown spot under field conditions		
Treatments	Disease incidence (%)	Percent decrease over control
T <sub>1</sub> : SA <sub>1</sub>	9.92	74.26
T <sub>2</sub> : SA <sub>2</sub>	10.68	72.28
T <sub>3</sub> : NG <sub>1</sub>	11.46	70.26
T <sub>4</sub> : NG <sub>2</sub>	12.26	68.18
T <sub>5</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> + T <sub>2</sub>	6.81	82.33
T <sub>6</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>	7.87	79.57
T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>2</sub>	7.34	81.01
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>	6.32	83.60
T <sub>9</sub> : Mancozeb (0.2%)	9.12	76.33
T <sub>10</sub> : Control	38.54	

Impact of FP, SA and NG in different combinations on growth parameters of Rice var. ADT 36 (Navarai 2020)

Generally, all the treatments significantly increased the plant height when compared to Mancozeb (0.2%) treatment and control. Among the treatments, the maximum plant height (89.76 cm) was observed in combined

application of bacterial bio control agent, Navagavya and inducing chemicals (T<sub>8</sub>) and control recorded the minimum plant height (74.60 cm). The field trial conducted in 2020 (Table 2) revealed that the treatments with FP, SA and NG had significantly higher number of productive tillers per clump, increased panicle length when compared to control.

Table 2 Impact of FP, SA and NG in different combinations on the incidence of brown spot under field conditions				
Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers/clump	No. of productive tillers/clump	Panicle length (cm)
T <sub>1</sub> : SA <sub>1</sub>	86.91	13.62	12.61	17.59
T <sub>2</sub> : SA <sub>2</sub>	86.36	13.31	12.28	17.41
T <sub>3</sub> : NG <sub>1</sub>	85.46	13.24	11.98	16.95
T <sub>4</sub> : NG <sub>2</sub>	84.79	12.51	11.62	16.64
T <sub>5</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> + T <sub>2</sub>	89.15	14.29	13.21	18.42
T <sub>6</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>	88.46	14.03	12.85	18.10
T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>2</sub>	88.82	14.12	12.98	18.25
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>	89.76	14.47	13.38	18.58
T <sub>9</sub> : Mancozeb (0.2%)	87.71	13.87	12.72	17.89
T <sub>10</sub> : Control	74.60	11.32	9.60	14.65

Impact of FP, SA and NG in different combinations on yield parameters of rice var. ADT 36 (Navarai 2020)

All the treatments with FP, SA and NG had increased the thousand grain weight when compared to control. However, the treatment T<sub>8</sub> recorded the maximum thousand grain weight of 20.44 g in 2020. All the treatments with FP, SA and NG recorded significant increase in grain and straw yield, when compared to test fungicide and control. Among them T<sub>8</sub> (FP + SA<sub>1</sub> + NG<sub>2</sub>) recorded the maximum grain yield of 5.28 t/ha during the year 2020. The maximum straw

yield was also recorded in T<sub>8</sub> with 7.57 t/ha during the year of 2021. The fungicide treatment recorded a grain yield of 5.07 t/ha and straw yield of 7.35 t/ha during the year 2020. The biological yield and harvest incidence were found significantly increased in all the treatments when compared to test fungicide and control treatments. And also, the treatment T<sub>8</sub> recorded the maximum filled grain percentage (84.07%), minimum level of grain discolouration (5.39%) and chaffy grains (11.28) when compared to control treatments.

Table 3 Impact of FP, SA and NG in different combinations on yield parameters of rice var. ADT 36 (Navarai 2020)					
Treatments	Thousand grains weight (g)	Grain yield (t/ha)	Straw yield (t/ha)	Biological yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
T <sub>1</sub> : SA <sub>1</sub>	20.36	5.00	7.32	12.36	40.81
T <sub>2</sub> : SA <sub>2</sub>	20.36	5.00	7.29	12.25	40.38
T <sub>3</sub> : NG <sub>1</sub>	20.35	4.45	7.28	11.80	37.71
T <sub>4</sub> : NG <sub>2</sub>	20.35	4.30	7.25	11.58	37.13
T <sub>5</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> + T <sub>2</sub>	20.42	5.23	7.52	12.80	41.07
T <sub>6</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>	20.40	5.09	7.38	12.41	41.01
T <sub>7</sub> : T <sub>3</sub> + T <sub>2</sub>	20.41	5.18	7.43	12.61	41.01
T <sub>8</sub> : T <sub>1</sub> + T <sub>4</sub>	20.44	5.28	7.57	12.80	41.25
T <sub>9</sub> : Mancozeb (0.2%)	20.38	5.07	7.35	12.38	40.85
T <sub>10</sub> : Control	20.30	3.90	5.62	9.52	40.96

Seed treatment with Fluorescent Pseudomonads had been widely used for the management of plant diseases [6]. A talc-based powder formulation of *P. fluorescens* was effective for the control of rice blast [7]), brown spot [8], sheath blight [9], sheath rot [10] and bacterial leaf blight [11]. The present study also indicated the effectiveness of the talc-based formulation of *P. fluorescens* for the control of blast of paddy.

The fluorescent Pseudomonads stimulated the production of plant growth promoting auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins and thereby suppressed the pathogen. The increase in grain yield due to the application of *P. fluorescens* may be associated with increased plant growth because of the plant growth promoting characteristics of fluorescent Pseudomonads and decreased disease incidence. Fluorescent Pseudomonads are known to induce resistance against many pathogens [12].

Pre-treatment of groundnut leaves with SA reduced the number of rust pustules, uredospores per pustule and delayed the development of rust disease [13]. SA has been shown to be a signalling molecule involved in both local defense reactions at infection sites and the induction of systemic resistance. The present study confirmed the systemic nature of resistance against the pathogen which is induced through exogenous application of SA. Similar results were made on the effect of SA on induction of systemic resistance by [14] who mentioned that salicylic acid enhanced the resistance in rice plants against sheath blight disease and also increased the grain filling percentage. It has been suggested that the growth promoting effects of SA could be related change in the hormonal status or by improvement of photosynthesis, transpiration and stomatal

conductance. Grain yield grain filling percentage was significantly enhanced in wheat seedlings, raised from the grains pre-treated with lower conc. of SA [15]. Foliar application of salicylic acid increased the biometric characters and suppressed brown spot of rice [16].

Results of the present series of investigations clearly proved that cow products induced appreciable resistance in rice plants against *B. oryzae* and the reduction in disease incidence reflected in the increased yield as well. This is in line with observations recorded by earlier workers [17]. Cow products such as *Panchagavya* spray during tillering and boot leaf stage of rice reduced the brown leaf spot incidence [18]. He also stated that one of the probable reasons attributed for decreased disease intensity in *Panchagavya* spray treatment was the induction in enzyme activity. Increased phenols and defense enzymes which led to the development of resistance in rice plants. More over cow products such as *Panchagavya*, *Navagavya*, *Dasagavya* acted both as fertilizer and bio-pesticide ([https://agritech.tnau.ac.in/org\\_farm/orgfarm\\_dasakavya.html](https://agritech.tnau.ac.in/org_farm/orgfarm_dasakavya.html)). In light of the above, the present observations made on *Navagavya* spray treatment were confirmed.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, the cumulative effect chemical spray SA<sub>1</sub> and NG<sub>2</sub> spray not only minimized the disease incidence but also had positive influence on the biometrics and yield of ADT 36. This might be due to the positive interaction and synergism between Salicylic acid and *Navagavya* in minimizing the disease incidence and enhancing the plant growth and grain yield of ADT 36.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Qudsia H, Akhter M, Riaz A, Haider Z, Mahmood A. 2017. Comparative efficacy of different chemical treatments for paddy blast, brown leaf spot and bacterial leaf blight diseases in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Applied Microbiology* 3: 138. doi: 10.4172/2471-9315.1000138.
2. Nguyen NV, Ferrero A. 2006. Meeting the challenges of global rice production. *Paddy, Water and Environment* 4: 1-9.
3. FAO. 2014. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
4. Ou SH. 1985. *Rice Diseases*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Common Wealth Mycological Institute, U.K. pp 380.
5. Sunder S, Singh R, Agarwal R. 2014. Brown spot of rice: an overview. *Indian Phytopathology* 67(3): 201-215.
6. Vidhyasekaran P. 1990. Basic research on physiology of disease resistance for crop disease management. In: (Eds) Vidhyasekaran P. Basic Research for Crop Disease Management. Daya Publishing House, Delhi. pp 102-109.
7. Krishnamurthy K, Gnanamanickam SS. 1998. Biological control of rice blast by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain Pf7–14: Evaluation of a marker gene and formulations. *Biological Control* 13(3): 158-165.
8. Balgude YS, Gaikwad AP, Kshirsagar CR. 2018. *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, a potential bioagent for effective management of diseases in organic rice production. Agricultural Research Station, Pune, India.
9. Li, NJ, Zhang, Liu T, ZH, Chen, X, Guo, HS. 2021. Phytosphinganine affects plasmodesmata permeability via facilitating PDL5-stimulated callose accumulation in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Plant* 13(1): 128-143.
10. Sakthivel N, Gnanamanickam SS. 1987. Evaluation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for suppression of sheath rot disease and for enhancement of grain yields in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Applied Environment Microbiology* 53: 2056-2059.
11. Jambhulkar PP, Sharma P. 2014. Development of bioformulation and delivery system of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against bacterial leaf blight of rice (*Xanthomonas oryzae* pv *oryzae*). *Journal of Environmental Biology* 35(5): 843.
12. Meena B, Ramamoorthy V, Marimuthu T, Velazhahan R. 2000. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* mediated systemic resistance against late leaf spot of groundnut. *Jr. Mycol. Plant Pathology* 30: 151-158.
13. Balasubramanian KM. 1999. *Panchakavya* application and its uses. CIKS, September, Chennai. pp 89.
14. Persaud R, Thrimurthy VS, Dantre RK, Khare N. 2010. Effects of non-conventional chemical stimulants in imparting resistance to sheath blight of rice and on yield parameters. *Indian Phytopathology* 63(4): 442-445.
15. Hayat S, Fariduddin Q, Ali B, Ahmad A. 2005. Effect of salicylic acid on growth and enzyme activities of wheat seedlings. *Acta Agron Hung* 53: 433-437.
16. Jaiganesh V. 2012. Studies on the use of resistance inducing chemical and macro-micro nutrients for the management of brown spot of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) caused by *Helminthosporium oryzae* (Breda de Haan). *Dissertation Ph. D. Thesis*, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu, India.
17. Natarajan K, Eswaramoorthy S, Balasubramanian KM. 1999. *Panchakavya* – Preparation and its application to crops. *NamVazhi Velanmai*, Oct-Dec. pp 4.
18. Sathyabama M, Balasubramanian R. 1999. Treatment of groundnut leaves with SA controls the development of rust disease caused by *Puccinia arachidis*. *Zeitschrift fur Pflanzekrankheiten und Pflanzenschutz* 106: 166-173.