

# Impact of Plant Growth Regulator and Rooting Media on Shoot Characters of Cuttings of Grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.)

Patidar D.K.\*<sup>1</sup>, Sharma R.<sup>2</sup>, Soni N.<sup>3</sup> and Thakur R.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Horticulture, OPJS University, Churu - 331 303, Rajasthan, India

<sup>3</sup>AICRP on Fruit Grape, College of Horticulture, Mandsaur, Madhya Pradesh, India

<sup>4</sup>Horticulture, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chandan Nagar, Chhindwara, Madhya Pradesh, India

## Abstract

The experiment entitled “Impact of plant growth regulator and rooting media on shoot characters of cuttings of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.)” was carried out during 2020-2021 and 2021-2022 at Grape Field Choudhary Farm Bahadari, Mandsaur (M.P.). The present experiment was laid down in randomized block design (Factorial) with three replication and twenty treatment combinations. In these treatments five concentrations auxin levels was used i.e., Control G<sub>0</sub>, 1000 ppm IBA G<sub>1</sub>, 2000 ppm IBA G<sub>2</sub>, 3000 ppm IBA G<sub>3</sub> and 4000 ppm IBA G<sub>4</sub>. Four types of rooting media i.e., Soil M<sub>0</sub>, Soil + Vermicompost (1:1) M<sub>1</sub>, Sand + Vermicompost (1:1) M<sub>2</sub> and Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1) M<sub>3</sub>. The observations were recorded days taken to start sprouting, days taken to 50% sprouting, percentage of success of cutting, no. of shoots per cutting, no. of leaves per shoot and total no. of leaves per cutting. Application of PGR the better result of shoot growth was observed in the treatment of G<sub>4</sub> (4000 ppm IBA) such shoot characters like days taken to start sprouting (11.24), days taken to 50% sprouting (16.24), percentage of success of cutting (75.31), no. of shoots per cutting (2.63), no. of leaves per shoot (12.66) and total no. of leaves per cutting (38.49), application of rooting media the maximum growth of shoots was observed in treatment M<sub>3</sub> (soil + sand + vermicompost (1:1:1)) such shoot characters days taken to start sprouting (12.61), days taken to 50% sprouting (17.60), percentage of success of cutting (73.07), no. of shoots per cutting (2.21), no. of leaves per shoot (12.46) and total no. of leaves per cutting (33.01). In the combined application of PGR and rooting media the shoot growth of different characters days taken to start sprouting (11.11), days taken to 50% sprouting (16.26), percentage of success of cutting (77.18), no. of shoots per cutting (2.83), no. of leaves per shoot (13.14) and total no. of leaves per cutting (42.88) was found in M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (soil + sand + vermicompost (1:1:1) + 4000 ppm IBA).

**Key words:** *Vitis vinifera*, Cuttings, IBA, Rooting media, Shoot characters

Grape (*Vitis vinifera* L) is the most demandable and remunerative horticultural crop belongs to the family Vitaceae. As per classification it has 12 genera and approx. 60 species and divided into two sub-genera, *Euvinifera* Planch. (2n=38) and *Muscadinia* Planch. (2n=40) [1]. Botanically it is a self-pollinated diploid species. This crop is widely grown in temperate, subtropical and tropical regions of the globe. Botanically, the grapevine is hardy and liana (a climbing plant) with spreading and deep root system. Feeder roots are located up to 25 cm deep and horizontally up to 60–120 cm away from trunk. Trunk is a major stem of vine on which whole framework is based. After pruning, succulent current season's growth arises from buds called as 'shoots', which when become woody, mature and dormant are called as 'canes'. Leaves of grape vine are cordate shaped and palmately lobed. Grape is a refreshing fruit, rich in sugars, acids, minerals, vitamins and tannins. Major constituents of fruits are carbohydrates (15.00%),

minerals (0.2-0.6%), organic acids (0.3-1.5%), nitrogenous compounds (0.03-0.70%), iron (0.0030-0.0017%/100g), calcium (0.004-0.025%), potassium (0.15-0.25%), vitamin A (180 micro gram), vitamin B complex (391-636 mg/100g), vitamin C (1.0-12.5 mg/100g) [2]. Predominant sugar in grape berries is fructose followed by glucose and sucrose. Tartaric and mallic acids constitute 90% or more of total acidity of fruits. The mature berry contains 12-27% sugar. TSS in different variety ranges from 12-18%. Fresh juice contains 0.2-1.0% tartaric acid. The red, blue, purple and black grapes are owing to pigment known as anthocyanin. During ripening grape develop some volatile compound like methyl anthranilate which emits a special aroma, for *Vinifera* varieties [3].

Grapevines are very easy to grow from cuttings [4]. It is well known that in comparison to soft wood cuttings, grapes are generally propagated through hardwood cuttings [5]. The main reasons are due to its highest success rate [6] without the use of

Received: 27 Mar 2023; Revised accepted: 20 June 2023; Published online: 01 Sep 2023

**Correspondence to:** Patidar D. K., Department of Horticulture, OPJS University, Churu - 331 303, Rajasthan, India; E-mail: ajayhldr@gmail.com

**Citation:** Patidar DK, Sharma R, Soni N, Thakur R. 2023. Impact of plant growth regulator and rooting media on shoot characters of cuttings of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *Res. Jr. Agril. Sci.* 14(5): 1065-1070.

special rooting technique, least expensive and easiest method of vegetative propagation [7-8]. Cuttings can also be made from the stem, modified stem, roots or leaves [4].

Grapes are very easy to grow from cuttings. With proper care, a dormant cutting can be started in the spring and by fall will give a vine large enough to bear a cluster or two of fruit the next season. The important factors are proper care and preparation of the cuttings. Grapes can be grown from two types of cuttings, dormant or hardwood, and green cuttings. Dormant cuttings are the easiest to handle, but green cuttings work in situations when it isn't possible to use hardwood, such as for grapes that don't root easily from dormant cuttings, or when green cuttings are all that are available [4]. In respect of all horticultural crop asexual propagation or vegetative methods of propagation or techniques are practiced to multiply plants of for better performance and to improve their economic importance. These vegetative propagation methods are including layering, cutting, grafting, *etc.* The method of propagation varies crop to crop and we select multiplication methods on the basis of simplicity, rate of success, cost and length of time to get successful complete plant. Grapes are commonly multiplied by vegetative propagation method, particularly the T-budding method is the most suitable method of propagation. Layering is of common use in difficult to root types like Muscatine.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out in 2019-20 and 2020-21 at Grape Field Choudhary Farm Bahaduri, Mandasaur (Madhya Pradesh). The experiment was laid down in factorial randomized block design with three replication and twenty treatment combinations. In these treatments five concentrations auxin levels was used i.e., Control G<sub>0</sub>, 1000 ppm IBA G<sub>1</sub>, 2000 ppm IBA G<sub>2</sub>, 3000 ppm IBA G<sub>3</sub> and 4000 ppm IBA G<sub>4</sub>. Four type of rooting media i.e., Soil M<sub>0</sub>, Soil + Vermicompost (1:1) M<sub>1</sub>, Sand + Vermicompost (1:1) M<sub>2</sub> and Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1) M<sub>3</sub> and the twenty treatment combinations viz., M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (Soil + Water), M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>1</sub> (Soil + 1000 ppm IBA), M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (Soil + 2000 ppm IBA), M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>3</sub> (Soil + 3000 ppm IBA), M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + 4000 ppm IBA), M<sub>1</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (Soil +

Vermicompost + Water), M<sub>1</sub>G<sub>1</sub> (Soil + Vermicompost + 1000 ppm IBA), M<sub>1</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (Soil + Vermicompost + 2000 ppm IBA), M<sub>1</sub>G<sub>3</sub> (Soil + Vermicompost + 3000 ppm IBA), M<sub>1</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Vermicompost + 4000 ppm IBA), M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost + Water), M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>1</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost + 1000 ppm IBA), M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost + 2000 ppm IBA), M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>3</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost + 3000 ppm IBA), M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost + 4000 ppm IBA), M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost + Water), M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>1</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost + 1000 ppm IBA), M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost + 2000 ppm IBA), M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>3</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost + 3000 ppm IBA), M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost + 4000 ppm IBA). The observations of shoots characters were recorded i.e., days taken to start sprouting, days taken to 50% sprouting, percentage of success of cutting, no. of shoots per cutting, no. of leaves per shoot and total no. of leaves per cutting.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Days taken to start sprouting

The minimum days taken to start sprouting (11.24 days) of cutting was observed under the treatment G<sub>4</sub> (4000 ppm IBA), whereas, the maximum days taken to start sprouting (14.18 days) of cutting was observed under control (G<sub>0</sub>). It is might be due to the increases in sprouts might be due to better utilization of stored carbohydrates, nitrogen, increased level of auxin and other factors with the help of growth regulators, the auxin treatments stimulate the hydrolysis of nutritional reserves and their mobilization of sprouting [9]. Chandramouli [10] observed that the increase in IBA concentration significantly reduced the number of days to first sprouting of cuttings and earliness in sprouting might be due to better utilization of stored carbohydrates, nitrogen and other factors with the help of growth regulators. Similarly, Mali [11] reported that 750 ppm IBA had taken minimum time for sprouting followed by 500 ppm IBA. The results of present findings are in agreement with the results obtained by Sawant [12-13] in kartoli crop and Rajarama [14] in pomegranate and Garande *et al.* [15] in grape rootstocks. Padekar *et al.* [16] also reported similar result.

Table 1 Impact of plant growth regulator and rooting media on shoot characters of grape cuttings

Treat.	Days taken to start sprouting			Days taken to 50% sprouting			Percentage of success of cutting			No. of shoots per cutting			Number of leaves per shoot			Total Number of leaves per cutting		
	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	II <sup>nd</sup> Year	Pooled	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	II <sup>nd</sup> Year	Pooled	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	II <sup>nd</sup> Year	Pooled	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	II <sup>nd</sup> Year	Pooled	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	II <sup>nd</sup> Year	Pooled	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	II <sup>nd</sup> Year	Pooled
	2020-21	2021-22		2020-21	2021-22		2020-21	2021-22		2020-21	2021-22		2020-21	2021-22		2020-21	2021-22	
G <sub>0</sub>	14.30	14.06	14.18	19.32	19.00	19.16	58.87	57.89	58.38	0.91	0.89	0.90	10.82	10.64	10.73	13.47	13.24	13.36
G <sub>1</sub>	13.65	13.42	13.54	18.60	18.29	18.45	71.86	70.66	71.26	1.75	1.72	1.73	11.35	11.16	11.26	26.51	26.07	26.29
G <sub>2</sub>	13.30	13.08	13.19	18.32	18.01	18.16	75.08	73.82	74.45	2.38	2.34	2.36	11.64	11.44	11.54	31.68	31.15	31.42
G <sub>3</sub>	12.83	12.61	12.72	17.86	17.56	17.71	74.44	73.19	73.81	2.50	2.45	2.47	11.94	11.74	11.84	34.09	33.52	33.81
G <sub>4</sub>	11.33	11.14	11.24	16.38	16.10	16.24	75.95	74.68	75.31	2.65	2.61	2.63	12.77	12.55	12.66	38.81	38.17	38.49
S.Em. ±	0.0155	0.0235	0.0501	0.0153	0.0232	0.0680	0.1020	0.1544	0.2778	0.0100	0.0152	0.0118	0.0143	0.0216	0.0449	0.1383	0.2094	0.1645
C.D. at 5%	0.0445	0.0674	0.1412	0.0438	0.0664	0.1915	0.2919	0.4420	0.7825	0.0287	0.0435	0.0332	0.0408	0.0618	0.1266	0.3959	0.5996	0.4633
M <sub>0</sub>	13.49	13.26	13.37	18.38	18.07	18.22	68.13	66.99	67.56	1.76	1.73	1.75	10.92	10.74	10.83	24.65	24.24	24.45
M <sub>1</sub>	13.20	12.98	13.09	18.25	17.95	18.10	71.13	69.95	70.54	2.00	1.97	1.99	11.40	11.21	11.30	27.92	27.46	27.69
M <sub>2</sub>	12.92	12.70	12.81	18.01	17.71	17.86	72.00	70.80	71.40	2.15	2.11	2.13	11.94	11.74	11.84	29.79	29.30	29.55
M <sub>3</sub>	12.72	12.51	12.61	17.75	17.45	17.60	73.68	72.46	73.07	2.23	2.19	2.21	12.56	12.35	12.46	33.28	32.73	33.01
S.Em. ±	0.0139	0.0211	0.0448	0.0137	0.0207	0.0608	0.0912	0.1381	0.2485	0.0090	0.0136	0.0105	0.0127	0.0193	0.0402	0.1237	0.1873	0.1471
C.D. at 5%	0.0398	0.0603	0.1263	0.0392	0.0594	0.1713	0.2611	0.3954	0.6999	0.0257	0.0389	0.0297	0.0365	0.0553	0.1132	0.3541	0.5363	0.4144

The minimum days taken to start sprouting (12.61 days) of cutting was observed under the treatment M<sub>3</sub> [Soil + Sand +

Vermicompost (1:1:1)], which was at par with the treatment M<sub>2</sub> [Sand + Vermicompost] (12.81 Days), whereas, the maximum

Days taken to start sprouting (13.37 days) of cutting was observed under Soil (M<sub>0</sub>) respectively. These results in agreement with the findings of Antunes *et al.* [17] and indicate that those cuttings which were planted in media are the first to emerge among other cuttings. This may be attributed to high porosity and higher availability of moisture and nutrients to the cutting in Soil, which enhances the physiological activity of grape cuttings and results in the early emergence of leaves compared to other potting media [18]. The delayed emergence of leaves in soil can be attributed to the fact that although soil possesses the highest reservoirs of nutrients, lower water holding capacity and moisture retention of the said media have failed to prevent grape cuttings from moisture stress and thus delayed the bud initiation process [19].

The minimum days taken to start sprouting (11.11 days) was found in treatment combination M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA), which was at par with the treatment combination M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) (11.21 Days), M<sub>1</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) (11.29 Days) and M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil) + (4000 ppm IBA) (11.35 Days), whereas the maximum days taken to start sprouting (14.78 days) was found under the treatment combination M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (Soil) + (0 ppm IBA).

#### Days taken to 50% sprouting

The minimum days taken to 50% sprouting (16.24 days) of cutting was observed under the treatment G<sub>4</sub> (4000 ppm IBA), followed by the treatment G<sub>3</sub> (3000 ppm IBA) (17.71

Days), whereas, the maximum days taken to 50% sprouting (19.16 days) of cutting was observed under control (G<sub>0</sub>). This might be due to exogenous application of auxins to the cuttings might have brought early breakage of bud dormancy and results in early bud sprouting [20-21].

The minimum days taken to 50% sprouting (17.60 days) of cutting was observed under the treatment M<sub>3</sub> [Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)], followed by the treatment M<sub>2</sub> [Sand + Vermicompost (1:1)] (17.86 Days), M<sub>1</sub> [Soil + Vermicompost (1:1)] (18.10 Days), whereas, the maximum was observed under soil (M<sub>0</sub>). The minimum days taken to 50% sprouting was found under the treatment combination M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil) + (4000 ppm IBA) (16.09 Days), which was at par with the treatment combination M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) (16.26 days), M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) (16.26 Days) and M<sub>1</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) (16.34 Days), whereas the maximum days taken to 50% sprouting (19.93 days) was found under the treatment combination M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (Soil) + (0 ppm IBA). This might be due to addition of sand and vermicompost in equal proportion, which provided favorable conditions for sprouting and rooting. Addition of sand facilitated proper drainage and aeration for exchange of gases. Bates and Tisdal [22] observed that adding coarse sand in sufficient quantity greatly improve the percolation of water through soil. The minimum number of days for sprouting was noticed in cuttings grown in a mixture of black clay and FYM could be attributed to higher degree of fertility and water retaining capacity [23].

Table 2 Combined effect of plant growth regulator and rooting media on shoot characters of grape cuttings

Treat. Comb.	Days taken to start sprouting			Days taken to 50% sprouting			Percentage of success of cutting			No. of shoots per cutting			Number of leaves per shoot			Total Number of leaves per cutting		
	I <sup>st</sup> Year 2020-21	II <sup>nd</sup> Year 2021-22	Pooled	I <sup>st</sup> Year 2020-21	II <sup>nd</sup> Year 2021-22	Pooled	I <sup>st</sup> Year 2020-21	II <sup>nd</sup> Year 2021-22	Pooled	I <sup>st</sup> Year 2020-21	II <sup>nd</sup> Year 2021-22	Pooled	I <sup>st</sup> Year 2020-21	II <sup>nd</sup> Year 2021-22	Pooled	I <sup>st</sup> Year 2020-21	II <sup>nd</sup> Year 2021-22	Pooled
M <sub>0</sub> G <sub>0</sub>	14.90	14.65	14.78	20.10	19.77	19.93	50.50	49.66	50.08	0.76	0.75	0.75	9.65	9.49	9.57	9.37	9.21	9.29
M <sub>0</sub> G <sub>1</sub>	14.30	14.06	14.18	19.00	18.68	18.84	70.47	69.30	69.88	1.12	1.10	1.11	10.12	9.95	10.04	21.99	21.62	21.81
M <sub>0</sub> G <sub>2</sub>	13.80	13.57	13.69	18.70	18.39	18.54	73.93	72.70	73.31	2.19	2.15	2.17	11.05	10.87	10.96	26.20	25.76	25.98
M <sub>0</sub> G <sub>3</sub>	12.98	12.76	12.87	17.85	17.55	17.70	72.55	71.34	71.95	2.31	2.27	2.29	11.25	11.06	11.16	31.73	31.20	31.47
M <sub>0</sub> G <sub>4</sub>	11.45	11.26	11.35	16.23	15.96	16.09	73.19	71.97	72.58	2.42	2.38	2.40	12.54	12.33	12.44	33.97	33.40	33.69
M <sub>1</sub> G <sub>0</sub>	14.50	14.26	14.38	19.40	19.08	19.24	58.10	57.13	57.62	0.94	0.92	0.93	10.57	10.39	10.48	13.12	12.90	13.01
M <sub>1</sub> G <sub>1</sub>	13.80	13.57	13.69	18.90	18.59	18.74	71.97	70.77	71.37	1.87	1.84	1.85	11.09	10.91	11.00	26.12	25.68	25.90
M <sub>1</sub> G <sub>2</sub>	13.40	13.18	13.29	18.50	18.19	18.35	75.64	74.38	75.01	2.22	2.18	2.20	11.15	10.96	11.06	28.98	28.50	28.74
M <sub>1</sub> G <sub>3</sub>	12.92	12.70	12.81	17.98	17.68	17.83	73.69	72.46	73.08	2.37	2.33	2.35	11.15	10.96	11.06	30.72	30.21	30.46
M <sub>1</sub> G <sub>4</sub>	11.38	11.19	11.29	16.48	16.21	16.34	76.27	75.00	75.63	2.62	2.58	2.60	13.02	12.80	12.91	40.67	39.99	40.33
M <sub>2</sub> G <sub>0</sub>	14.00	13.77	13.88	19.01	18.69	18.85	61.40	60.38	60.89	0.95	0.93	0.94	10.99	10.81	10.90	12.56	12.35	12.46
M <sub>2</sub> G <sub>1</sub>	13.40	13.18	13.29	18.50	18.19	18.35	72.01	70.81	71.41	1.98	1.95	1.96	12.05	11.85	11.95	28.49	28.02	28.25
M <sub>2</sub> G <sub>2</sub>	13.10	12.88	12.99	18.23	17.93	18.08	74.93	73.68	74.31	2.52	2.48	2.50	12.17	11.97	12.07	34.77	34.19	34.48
M <sub>2</sub> G <sub>3</sub>	12.80	12.59	12.69	17.90	17.60	17.75	75.15	73.90	74.52	2.58	2.54	2.56	12.22	12.02	12.12	35.78	35.18	35.48
M <sub>2</sub> G <sub>4</sub>	11.30	11.11	11.21	16.40	16.13	16.26	76.50	75.23	75.86	2.72	2.67	2.70	12.25	12.05	12.15	37.37	36.75	37.06
M <sub>3</sub> G <sub>0</sub>	13.80	13.57	13.69	18.78	18.47	18.62	65.47	64.38	64.92	0.97	0.95	0.96	12.08	11.88	11.98	18.82	18.51	18.66
M <sub>3</sub> G <sub>1</sub>	13.10	12.88	12.99	18.00	17.70	17.85	72.97	71.75	72.36	2.01	1.98	1.99	12.15	11.95	12.05	29.45	28.96	29.20
M <sub>3</sub> G <sub>2</sub>	12.90	12.69	12.79	17.84	17.54	17.69	75.80	74.54	75.17	2.59	2.55	2.57	12.18	11.98	12.08	36.78	36.17	36.47
M <sub>3</sub> G <sub>3</sub>	12.60	12.39	12.50	17.71	17.41	17.56	76.35	75.08	75.71	2.72	2.67	2.70	13.15	12.93	13.04	38.13	37.49	37.81
M <sub>3</sub> G <sub>4</sub>	11.20	11.01	11.11	16.40	16.13	16.26	77.83	76.53	77.18	2.85	2.80	2.83	13.25	13.03	13.14	43.24	42.52	42.88
S.Em. ±	0.0311	0.0471	0.1003	0.0306	0.0464	0.1360	0.2039	0.3088	0.5556	0.0201	0.0304	0.0236	0.0285	0.0432	0.0899	0.2766	0.4189	0.3290
C.D. at 5%	0.0890	0.1348	0.2824	0.0877	0.1327	0.3829	0.5838	0.8840	1.5650	0.0575	0.0870	0.0664	0.0816	0.1236	0.2532	0.7919	1.1992	0.9265

### Percentage of success of cutting

The maximum percentage of success of cutting (75.31%) was observed under the treatment G<sub>4</sub> (4000 ppm IBA), followed by the treatment G<sub>2</sub> (2000 ppm IBA) (74.45%) and G<sub>3</sub> (3000 ppm IBA) (73.81%), while the minimum percentage of success of cutting (58.38%) was observed under control (G<sub>0</sub>). It might be due to the rooting co-factors and their balance with nutritive substances and auxin [24-25]. The result maximum percentage of success of cutting (73.07%) was observed under the treatment M<sub>3</sub> [Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)], followed by the treatment M<sub>2</sub> [Sand + Vermicompost (1:1)] (71.40%), while, minimum was observed under Soil (M<sub>0</sub>) respectively. The maximum percentage of success of cutting (77.18%) was found under M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA), followed by M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) (75.86 %), whereas the minimum (50.08%) was found under the treatment combination M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub>.

### Number of shoots per cutting

The maximum number of shoots per cutting (2.63) was observed under the treatment G<sub>4</sub> (4000 ppm IBA), followed by the treatment G<sub>3</sub> (3000 ppm IBA) (2.47) and G<sub>2</sub> (2000 ppm IBA) (2.36). Whereas, the minimum Number of shoots per cutting (0.90) was observed under control (G<sub>0</sub>) respectively. It might be due to higher concentration of IBA stimulated higher number of roots with faster growth resulting in better absorption of food material and other necessary minerals, in this way plant will take higher growth which results a greater number of sprouts [26]. IBA promote luxurious growth of root as well as shoots which may have been resulted in the maximum fresh weight of shoot. The similar results were observed by [27-30] conformity with these, they are having better root system, they could absorb sufficient water and other nutrients, which could be excellent in all studied characters. These results are in agreement with the finding of Abdel-Rahman and El-Dsouky [31], Abdel-Rahman and El-Naggar [32] found that combined IBA-bacteria or IBA-AMF treatments were more effective in increasing root and vegetative growth than control or IBA and beneficial microorganisms alone.

The maximum number of shoots per cutting (2.21) was observed under the treatment M<sub>3</sub> [Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)], followed by the treatment M<sub>2</sub> (2.13) and M<sub>1</sub> (1.99) while, minimum number of shoots per cutting (1.75) was observed under Soil (M<sub>0</sub>). Treatment combination M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) noted maximum number of shoots per cutting (2.83), followed by M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>3</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1) + (3000 ppm IBA) (2.70), M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) (2.70), M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost (1:1) + (2000 ppm IBA) (2.57), whereas the minimum number of shoots per cutting (0.75) was found under the treatment combination M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub>. Auxin activated shoot growth which may due to used hydrolysis and translocation of carbohydrates and nitrogenous substances at the base of cuttings and resulted in accelerating cell elongation and cell division [33].

### Number of leaves per shoot

The maximum number of leaves per shoot (12.66) was observed under the treatment G<sub>4</sub> (4000 ppm IBA), followed by the treatment G<sub>3</sub> (3000 ppm IBA) (11.84) and G<sub>2</sub> (2000 ppm IBA) (11.54). Whereas, the minimum number of leaves per shoot (0.90) was observed under control (G<sub>0</sub>). This might be due to early initiation of roots, a greater number of roots and higher root length which absorbs more nutrient and water resulted in higher number of leaves after transplanting. The increase in number of leaves under different concentration of IBA was

earlier reported by [34]. The increment in vegetative growth characteristics as a result of IBA treatments may be due to the role of IBA on cell division and/or cell elongation [35]. Besides, the stimulatory action of auxin in softening the cell wall, increasing its plasticity leading to cell enlargement of the protoplast by water up take and consequently stimulating the growth [36]. The enhancing effect of *B. subtilis* on the vegetative growth characters obtained in the present investigation was also found by Karakurt *et al.* [37], Erturk *et al.* [38].

The maximum number of leaves per shoot (12.46) was observed under the treatment M<sub>3</sub> [Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)], followed by the treatment M<sub>2</sub> [Sand + Vermicompost (1:1)] (11.84) and M<sub>1</sub> [Soil + Vermicompost (1:1)] (11.30), whereas, the minimum number of leaves per shoot (10.83) was observed under Soil (M<sub>0</sub>). Treatment combination M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) noted maximum Number of leaves per shoot (13.14), which was at par with the treatment combination M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>3</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1) + (3000 ppm IBA) (13.04), M<sub>1</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Vermicompost (1:1) + (4000 ppm IBA) (12.91), whereas the minimum number of leaves per shoot (9.57) was found under the treatment combination M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (Soil) + (0 ppm IBA).

### Total number of leaves per cutting

The maximum total Number of leaves per cutting (38.49) was observed under the treatment G<sub>4</sub> (4000 ppm IBA), followed by the treatment G<sub>3</sub> (3000 ppm IBA) (33.81) and G<sub>2</sub> (2000 ppm IBA) (31.42), while minimum total number of leaves per cutting (13.36) was observed under control (G<sub>0</sub>). The maximum Total Number of leaves per cutting (33.01) was observed under the treatment M<sub>3</sub> [Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)], followed by the treatment M<sub>2</sub> [Sand + Vermicompost (1:1)] (29.55) and M<sub>1</sub> [Soil + Vermicompost (1:1)] (27.69). Whereas, the minimum total number of leaves per cutting (24.45) was observed under Soil (M<sub>0</sub>). The number of photo synthetically active leaves depends upon the better root and shoot growth, which relies on biological and physical characteristics of the potting media. The bud opening depends upon the biochemical process occurring inside the stem and bud cells; appropriate levels of minerals and nutrients inside the plant allow to form new and to open dormant buds. As by previous results, Compost has increased the number of roots and shoots, which might be a reason to increase the number of leaves in grape cutting [19].

Treatment combination M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) noted maximum total number of leaves per cutting (42.88), followed by M<sub>1</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Vermicompost (1:1) + (4000 ppm IBA) (40.33), M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>3</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1) + (3000 ppm IBA) (37.81), M<sub>2</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Sand + Vermicompost (1:1) + (4000 ppm IBA) (37.06), whereas the minimum total number of leaves per cutting (9.29) was found under the treatment combination M<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (Soil) + (0 ppm IBA). It might be attributed to the better physical and nutritional status of the media [15].

## CONCLUSION

It is concluded that treatment G<sub>4</sub> (4000 ppm IBA) was superior found in the different shoot characteristics viz., days taken to start sprouting, days taken to 50% sprouting, percentage of success of cutting, no. of shoots per cutting, no. of leaves per shoot, total no. of leaves per cutting. The treatment of rooting media M<sub>3</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)) was superior in all the shoot characteristics viz., days taken to start sprouting, days taken to 50% sprouting, percentage of success of cutting, no. of shoots per cutting, no. of leaves per shoot, total

no. of leaves per cutting. Treatment combination M<sub>3</sub>G<sub>4</sub> (Soil + Sand + Vermicompost) + (4000 ppm IBA) was superior in all the shoot characteristics viz., days taken to start sprouting, days

taken to 50% sprouting, percentage of success of cutting, no. of shoots per cutting, no. of leaves per shoot, total no. of leaves per cutting.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Einset J, Pratt C. 1975. Grapes. In: (Eds.) Janick J. and Moore J.N. *Advances in Fruit Breeding*. Purdue University Press, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA. pp 130-153.
2. Amerine MA, Winkler AJ. 1944. Composition and quality of musts and wines of California grapes. *Hilgardia* 15: 493-676.
3. Saniya, Jyoti Kanwar, Naruka IS, Singh PP. 2018. Genetic variability and association among colour and white seedless genotypes of grape (*Vitis vinifera*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 88(5): 73745.
4. Waite H, Whitelaw-Weckert M, Torley P. 2015. Grapevine propagation: Principles and methods for the production of high-quality grapevine planting material. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science* 43(2): 144-161.
5. Patil KD, Patel BN, Aklade SA, Patil SJ. 2011. Influence of time ringing, wrappers and IBA on air layering in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. *Hayrana Journal of Horticultural Sciences* 40(1/2): 22-26.
6. Somkuwar, Ramhari G, Bondage, Devanand D, Surange, Manisha S, Ramteke, Sahadeo D. 2011. Rooting behaviour, polyphenol oxidase activity, and biochemical changes in grape rootstocks at different growth stages. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 35(3): 281-287.
7. Smart D, Schwass E, Lakso A, Morano L. 2006. Grapevine rooting patterns: A comprehensive analysis and review. *Am. Jr. Enol. Vitic.* 57: 89-104.
8. Karakurt C, Topçu IB. 2009. Effect of blended cements produced with natural zeolite and volcanic tuffs on sulfate resistance of concrete. In: *Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> International Symposium on Sustainable Development, Sarajevo, Bosnia-Herzegovina*. pp 9-10.
9. Singh AK, Bahadur V. 2015. Effect of NAA and IBA on rooting and establishment of hard wood cutting in Phalsa (*Grewia subinaequalis* L.). *The Allahabad Farmer* LXX (2): 199-201.
10. Chandramouli H. 2001. Influence of growth regulators on the rooting of different types of cuttings in *Bursera penicillata* DC. *M. Sc. (Agriculture) Thesis*, University of Agriculture Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.
11. Mali PK. 1998. Propagation studies in Kartoli (*Momordica dioica* Roxb.). *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, Submitted to Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth (MPKV), Rahuri, Maharashtra, India.
12. Sawant SS. 1993. Studies on propagation technique in Kartoli (*Momordica dioica* Roxb.). *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, submitted to Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli - 415 712, Maharashtra, India.
13. Parulekar YR. 1994. Standardization of propagation techniques in kartoli (*Momordica dioica* Roxb.). *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, submitted to Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli - 415 712, Maharashtra, India.
14. Rajarama HA. 1997. Studies on propagation of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) by cuttings. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka.
15. Garande VK, Gawade MH, Sapkal RT, Gurav SB. 2002. Effect of IBA and number of internodes on rooting of stem cuttings of grape rootstocks. *Agric. Sci. Digest* 22(3): 176-178.
16. Padekar VJ, Garande VK, Dodake SS, Sawant SV, Shinde US, Sonawane PN, Pawar RD, Dhumal SS. 2018. Effect of IBA, types of cutting and rooting media on sprouting, survival percentage and growth of cuttings of Kartoli (*Momordica dioica* Roxb.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 10(7): 2319-7706.
17. Antunes LEC, Chalfun NNJ, Pasqual M, Dutra LF, Cavalcante-Alves JM. 2001. Factors affecting on rooting of figs (*Ficus carica* L.) cuttings. In: *II International Symposium on Fig 605*. pp 141-146.
18. Jamil MK, Rahman MM, Hossain MM, Hossain MT, Karim AS. 2016. Effect of potting media on growth, flowering and bulb production of Hippeastrum (*Hippeastrum hybridum*). *International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology* 4(3): 259-271.
19. Khan AM, Shah SU, Ayub Q, Hussain I, Khan SM, Ali S, Haq N, Mehmood A, Khan T, Brahmi NC. 2021. Effect of different growing media on survival and growth of grape (*Vitis vinifera*) cuttings. *Jr. Adv. Nutri. Sci. Technology* 1(3): 117-124.
20. Mishra KC, Sahu RP, Jha UC. 1988. Kakrol: A nutritious vegetable with useful medicinal properties. *Indian Horticulture* 33(1): 15-17.
21. Taslim A, Shukla PT, Chovatia UP, Makati JA. 1992. Propagation of kartoli through cuttings by use of IBA. *Gujrat Agri. Univ. Res. Journal* 17(2): 99-101.
22. Bates LE, Tisdale SL. 1957. The movement of nitrate nitrogen through columns of coarse textured soil materials. *Soil Sic. Soc. Amer. Proc.* 21: 525-528.
23. Biswar TD, Mukherjee SK. 2009. *Text book of Soil Science*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Tata Mc Graw Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi.
24. Patil VN, Chauhan PS, Shivankar RS, Vilhekar SH, Waghmare VS. 2001. Effect of plant growth regulators on survival and vegetative growth of grapevine cuttings. *Agric. Sci. Digest* 21(2): 97-99.
25. Rymbai H, Reddy SG. 2010. Effect of IBA, time of layering and rooting media on air-layers and plantlets survival under different growing nursery conditions in guava. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 67: 99-104.
26. Tomar KS, Gurjar BS, Tomar RSS. 1999. Response of different concentrations of IBA and NAA on rootage and growth of air layers of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Gwarlior-27. *Advanced Plant Science* 12(2): 535-538.
27. Chawla W, Mehta K, Chauhan N. 2012. Influence of plant growth regulators on rooting of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) air layers. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture* 7(1): 160-164.
29. Khalid A, Arshad M, Zahir ZA. 2004. Screening plant growth promoting *rhizobacteria* for improving growth and yield of wheat. *Jr. Appl. Micro.* 96: 473-480.

29. Chawla W. 2011. Studies on air layering, survival and growth of rooted layers in litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). *M. Sc. Thesis*, Faculty of Agriculture, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, India.
30. Kumar S. 2011. Air layering in guava as influenced by growth regulators and *Azospirillum*. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Bangalore, India.
31. Abdel-Rahman SSA, El-Dsouky M. 2010. Effect of indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) and *Bacillus subtilis* on rooting of *Bougainvillea glabra* var. Sanderiana cuttings. The 5<sup>th</sup> Scientific Conference for Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University. Oct. 16- 17. pp 51-71.
32. Abdel-Rahman SSA, El-Naggar AI. 2014. Promotion of rooting and growth of some types of Bougainville as cutting by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) in combination with indole-3-butyric acid (IBA). *Inter. Jr. Sci. and Research* 3(11): 97-108.
33. Singh AK, Singh R, Mittal AK, Singh YP, Jauhari S. 2003. Effect of plant growth regulators on survival rooting and growth characters in long pepper (*Piper longum* L.). *Progressive Horticulture* 35: 208-211.
34. Tyagi SK, Patel RM. 2004. Growth regulators on rooting of air layering of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. *The Orissa Journal of Horticulture* 32(1): 58-62.
35. Noggle GR, Fritz GJ. 1989. *Introductory Plant Physiology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Publ. Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi.
36. Tagwa T, Bonner J. 1957. Mechanical properties of the *Avena coleoptile* as related to auxin and to ionic interactions. *Plant Physiology* 32: 207-212.
37. Karakurt H, Aslantas R, Ozkan G, Guleryuz M. 2009. Effects of indole-3-butyric acid (IBA), plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and carbohydrates on rooting of hardwood cutting of MM 106 Apple rootstock. *Afri. Jr. Agric. Research* 4(2): 60-64.
38. Erturk Y, Ercisli S, Haznedar A, Cakmakci R. 2010. Effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on rooting and root growth of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*) stem cuttings. *Biol. Research* 43: 91-98.